Hamiltonian for Long-range Beam-Beam interactions – Fourier Expansion **Coefficients**

Dobrin Kaltchev

Abstract

In [\[1\]](#page-6-0) the Lie-algebraic method was used to develop generalized Courant-Snyder invariant in the presence of an arbitrary number of beam-beam collisions, head-on or long-range, in a storage ring collider. Each beam-beam collision point is described by a set of Fourier coefficients, computed numerically. This note presents analytic expressions for these coefficients.

PACS numbers:

I. INTRODUCTION

The long-range beam-beam Hamiltonian divided by $\frac{N_b r_0}{\gamma}$ can be written as:

$$
H = \int_0^P (1 - e^{-\alpha}) \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha} = \tag{1}
$$

$$
= \gamma + \Gamma_0(P) + \ln(P), \tag{2}
$$

where

$$
P = P(x) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left((n_x + \frac{x}{\sigma})^2 + n_y^2 \right).
$$
 (3)

Here x is the particle coordinate; d_x the real space offset of the collision point in x direction and similar for y direction. Also $n_{x,y} = d_{x,y}/\sigma$ are the normalized offsets. Also $\sigma =$ √ $\overline{\epsilon\beta}$ with β being the beta function and ϵ the emittance.

In [\[1\]](#page-6-0), we used the form [\(2\)](#page-1-0) to calculate numerically the coefficients c_m (m=integer) in the Fourier expansion of H . Our goal here is to derive analytical expressions for these coefficients. For this we use the form (1) , i.e. we follow the approach in $[2]$, where the same is done for the case of head-on collisions $(n_x = n_y = 0)$. Not surprisingly, the result is that c_m can be written as series of Bessel-I functions. In the case of $n_x = n_y = 0$ these reduce to the single Bessel-I function of [\[2\]](#page-6-1),

II. SOME PROPERTIES OF H

H can be written in terms of several mutually related special functions – Appendix A.

In the form (2) , $\Gamma_s(P) \equiv \Gamma(s, P)$ denotes the upper incomplete gamma function – see Abramowitz-Stegun.

The form [\(1\)](#page-1-0) is identical to the usual definition of $Ein(P)$. Further, $Ein(z)$ is related to the exponential integral $E_1(z)$, so one may also write:

$$
H = Ein(P) = \gamma + lnP + E_1(P).
$$

From [\(2\)](#page-1-0), we have the relations:

$$
\frac{\partial H}{\partial P} = \frac{1}{P} - \frac{e^{-P}}{P} \tag{4}
$$

and

$$
\frac{\partial P}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} (x + n_x \sigma), \tag{5}
$$

so that the long-range beam-beam kick is:

$$
f(x) \equiv \frac{d}{dx}H(x) = \frac{\partial H}{\partial P}\frac{dP}{dx} =
$$

=
$$
\frac{2(x + n_x\sigma)}{(x + n_x\sigma)^2 + (n_y\sigma)^2} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{(x + n_x\sigma)^2 + (n_y\sigma)^2}{2\sigma^2}}\right).
$$

The nonlinear part of the Hamiltonian is $H - H^{(1)} - H^{(2)}$, where $H^{(1)} \sim x$ and $H^2 \sim x^2$. The linear in x part of H is

$$
H^{(1)} = \left(\frac{\partial H(x,\sigma)}{\partial x}\right)|_{x=0} x =
$$

=
$$
\frac{2n_x}{\sigma(n_x^2 + n_y^2)} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{n_x^2 + n_y^2}{2}}\right) x.
$$
 (6)

The non-linear in x part of H , i.e. closed orbit subtracted is

$$
H^{non} = H - H^{(1)}.
$$
\n(7)

Further, an alternative way to write the integral is (by taking $\alpha = tP$ in [\(1\)](#page-1-0)):

$$
H = \int_0^P (1 - e^{-\alpha}) \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha} =
$$

=
$$
\int_0^1 (1 - e^{-tP}) \frac{dt}{t}.
$$
 (8)

III. ACTION-ANGLE VARIABLES

For simplicity, let's assume that there is no offset in the orthogonal plane, i.e. $n_y = 0$. All expressions we get can easily be modified to account for $n_y \neq 0$. In addition, we know that its effect is small.

Introduce action angle variables as in [\[2\]](#page-6-1)

$$
x = \sqrt{2A\beta} \sin \phi =
$$

= $\sqrt{2A/\epsilon} \sigma \sin \phi =$
= $n_{\sigma} \sigma \sin \phi$, (9)

Here

$$
n_{\sigma} = \sqrt{2A/\epsilon} = \sqrt{2A\beta/\sigma^2}.
$$
\n(10)

With our simplifying assumption $n_y = 0$, from [\(3\)](#page-1-1) we have:

$$
P = \frac{1}{2} (n_x + \frac{x}{\sigma})^2 = \frac{1}{2} (n_x + n_\sigma \sin \phi)^2
$$
 (11)

and corresponding H defined by (2) or (8) . Also from (6)

$$
H^{(1)} = \frac{2}{n_x} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{n_x^2}{2}} \right) n_\sigma \sin \phi.
$$
 (12)

We have defined P, H and $H^{(1)}$ as functions of amplitude A and phase ϕ . The dependence on A is implicit – through n_{σ} : $P(n_{\sigma}, \phi)$, $H(n_{\sigma}, \phi)$ and $H^{(1)}(n_{\sigma}, \phi)$. The particle amplitude is measured in number of sigmas n_{σ} wrt the the closed orbit, which orbit is to first order just the the IP offset at this location, n_x sigmas from the axis. Most interesting is the case (dynamic aperture) when both these quantities are large: $n_x \sim 9$ and $n_\sigma \sim 7 - 10$.

IV. FOURIER EXPANSION

The expansion of the Hamiltonian is in the form:

$$
H = c_0 + \sum_{m \neq 0} c_m e^{im\phi} \tag{13}
$$

and we need to compute the Fourier coefficients

$$
c_m = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-im\phi} H(n,\phi) d\phi, \quad \text{m=integer.} \tag{14}
$$

Assuming this is done, we can replace H in [\(13\)](#page-3-0) with $H^{non} - H^{(1)}$ which will lead to modification of only two coefficients: $c_{\pm 1}$ which can easily be deduced from [\(12\)](#page-3-1).

A. Direct numerical way

Numerical calculation of c_m can be done as in [\[1\]](#page-6-0). By taking the second form [\(2\)](#page-1-0) of H and also P from (11) and $H^{(1)}$ from (12) , we have:

$$
c_m = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-im\phi} \left(\gamma + \Gamma_0(P) + ln(P) - H^{(1)} \right) d\phi.
$$
 (15)

 $cm[m_-, nx_-, n_+]$:= Module[{P, Hnon}, P := $(nx^2/2 + n nx Sin[\{Phi1]\} + 1/2 n^2 Sin[\{Phi1]\}^2);$ H non := EulerGamma + Gamma $[0, P]$ + Log $[P]$ cosub $2/nx$ $(1 - E^(-lnx^2/2))$ n Sin[\[Phi]]; $1/(2 \setminus [Pi])$ NIntegrate [Exp[-I m \[Phi]] Hnon, {\[Phi], 0, 2 \[Pi]},

```
AccuracyGoal -> 8] // Chop];
cosub=1;
m = 1;nx = 9;
n = 5;cm[m, nx, n]/I^m
```
 -0.0511155

In this example $m = 1, n_{\sigma} = 5, n_x = 9$, the closed orbit is subtracted (cosub = 1) and the result is $c_1 = -0.0511155$ i.

B. Analytical way

Let us take the first form of $H(1)$ $H(1)$, with integration variable t as in (8) , and substitute it into (14) . Consider first the expression under the integral over t:

$$
\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-im\phi} \left(1 - e^{-tP(n_\sigma,\phi)}\right) d\phi,\tag{16}
$$

We rewrite the exponent factor as

$$
-tP(n_{\sigma}, \phi) = -t\left(\frac{1}{2}n_x^2 + n_{\sigma}n_x\sin\phi + \frac{1}{2}n_{\sigma}^2\sin^2\phi\right)
$$

$$
= -\frac{t}{2}n_x^2 - tn_{\sigma}n_x\sin\phi - \frac{t}{2}n_{\sigma}^2\sin^2\phi =
$$

$$
= z_3 - z_1\sin\phi + 2z_2\sin^2\phi,
$$
 (17)

with the temporary notations $z_1 = t n_{\sigma} n_x$, $z_2 = -\frac{t}{4}$ $\frac{t}{4}n_{\sigma}^2$ and $z_3 = -\frac{t}{2}$ $\frac{t}{2}n_x^2$. The exponents that appear in [\(16\)](#page-4-0) can be expressed through the modified Bessel functions I_k :

$$
e^{-z_1 \sin \phi} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} i^k e^{ik\phi} I_k(z_1) = \tag{18}
$$

$$
= I_0(z_1) + 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \cos k(\phi + \frac{\pi}{2}) I_k(z_1)
$$
\n(19)

and

$$
e^{2z_2 \sin^2 \phi} = e^{z_2} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^k e^{2ik\phi} I_k(z_2) = \tag{20}
$$

$$
= e^{z_2} \left(I_0(z_2) + 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \cos 2k \phi \ I_k(z_2) \right) \tag{21}
$$

The m-th Fourier component of the product of (19) and (21) is

$$
\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-im\phi} e^{-z_1 \sin \phi} e^{2 z_2 \sin^2 \phi} =
$$
\n
$$
= e^{z_2} \sum_{q,k=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^k i^q I_q(z_1) I_k(z_2) \delta(2k+q-m) =
$$
\n
$$
= e^{z_2} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} i^m I_{m-2k}(z_1) I_k(z_2).
$$
\n(22)

By using (17) and (22) , the expression (16) becomes

$$
\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-im\phi} \left(1 - e^{-tP(n_\sigma,\phi)} \right) d\phi =
$$
\n
$$
= 1 - e^{z_3} e^{z_2} \sum_{k=-\infty}^\infty i^m I_{m-2k}(z_1) I_k(z_2) =
$$
\n
$$
= \begin{cases} 1 - e^{z_3} e^{z_2} \sum_{k=-\infty}^\infty I_{-2k}(z_1) I_k(z_2), & \text{if } m = 0\\ -e^{z_3} e^{z_2} \sum_{k=-\infty}^\infty i^m I_{m-2k}(z_1) I_k(z_2), & \text{if } m \neq 0. \end{cases}
$$
\n
$$
(23)
$$

The expansion [\(23\)](#page-5-2) still needs to be divided by t and integrated over t from 0 to 1. Upon replacing z_i with their values it is easy to see that for (23) there will be no singularities at $t = 0$ (use the asymptotes of I for small argument). For the $m = 0$ term we get:

$$
c_0 = \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{t} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{t}{2}n_x^2} e^{-\frac{t}{4}n_\sigma^2} \sum_{k=-\infty}^\infty I_{-2k}(t n_\sigma n_x) I_k(-\frac{t}{4}n_\sigma^2) \right). \tag{24}
$$

This is the averaged H over the unperturbed phase and it can be used to compute the tune shift $-1/(2\pi)dc_0/dA$. The formula for n_{σ} is [\(9\)](#page-2-3). Also, $\frac{dn_{\sigma}}{dA} = \frac{1}{\epsilon n}$ $\frac{1}{\epsilon n_{\sigma}}.$

For c_m , with $m \neq 0$:

$$
c_m = \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{t} \left(-e^{-\frac{t}{2}n_x^2} e^{-\frac{t}{4}n_\sigma^2} \sum_{k=-\infty}^\infty i^m I_{m-2k} (t n_\sigma n_x) I_k(-\frac{t}{4}n_\sigma^2) \right) =
$$

=
$$
-i^m \sum_{k=-\infty}^\infty \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{t} e^{-\frac{t}{2}n_x^2} e^{-\frac{t}{4}n_\sigma^2} I_{m-2k} (t n_\sigma n_x) I_k(-\frac{t}{4}n_\sigma^2).
$$
 (25)

shows a Mathematica implementation of the function $c_m(n_\sigma, n_x)$ in [\(25\)](#page-5-3), represented by CM[m₋, nx₋, n₋]. This example uses the same values as for Exhibit1 above and the result is the same.

```
CM[m_-, nx_-, n_+] :=
(cosub KroneckerDelta[Abs[m] - 1] (I)^(m) 1/nx (1 - E^(-(nx^2/2))) n -
   I^m Sum[NIntegrate[(Exp[-t nx^2/2] Exp[-t/4 n^2] BesselI[m - 2 k,
         t n nx] BesselI[k, -t/4 n^2])/t, {t, 0, 1}], {k, -kmax, kmax}])//Chop
kmax=20;
cosub=1;
m = 1;nx = 9;
n = 5;CM[m, nx, n]/I^m
```
-0.0511155

For a collision point without offset, by taking $n_x = 0$ in [\(25\)](#page-5-3) and using the property of I for zero argument $I_{m-2k}(0) = \delta_{m-2k}$ we get:

$$
c_m = \begin{cases} \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{t} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{t}{4}n_\sigma^2} I_0(-\frac{t}{4}n_\sigma^2) \right), & \text{if } m=0\\ -\int_0^1 \frac{dt}{t} e^{-\frac{t}{4}n_\sigma^2} I_{m/2}(\frac{t}{4}n_\sigma^2), & \text{if } m=even \neq 0\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$
(26)

From [\(9\)](#page-2-3) we have $n_{\sigma}^2/4 = \frac{A\beta}{2\sigma^2}$, By using also $I_0(-z) = I_0(z)$ and [\(8\)](#page-2-0), we see that this is the same as the expression given by Chao [\[2\]](#page-6-1).

[2] A. Chao, Truncated Power Series Algebra, lectures

^[1] D.Kaltchev, W.Herr Analytical calculation of the smear for long-range beam-beam interactions, PAC09 [get](http://www.triumf.ca/people/kaltchev/papers/pub/TH6PFP096.pdf) [paper](http://www.triumf.ca/people/kaltchev/papers/pub/TH6PFP096.pdf)

V. APPENDIX

Appendix A: H via special-functions

The Hamiltonian expressed through the function $\gamma^*(s, z)$, see Abramowitz-Stegun:

$$
H(x) = -\frac{\partial \gamma^*}{\partial s}|_{s \to 0} = \int_{P(x)}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t} dt = \gamma + \Gamma_0(P) + \ln(P)
$$

$$
P(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left((n_x + \frac{x}{\sigma})^2 + n_y^2 \right).
$$

The Hamiltonian expressed through the exponential integral $Ei(x)$. For real, nonzero values of x, the exponential integral $Ei(x)$ can be defined as

$$
E_i(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x e^t \frac{dt}{t}.
$$
\n(A1)

The integral has to be understood in terms of the Cauchy principal value, due to the singularity in the integrand at zero. In general, a branch cut is taken on the negative real axis and E_i can be defined by analytic continuation elsewhere on the complex plane. For complex values of the argument, this definition becomes ambiguous due to branch points at 0 and ∞ . In general, a branch cut is taken on the negative real axis and Ei can be defined by analytic continuation elsewhere on the complex plane. One uses $E_1(z)$ defined as:

$$
E_1(z) = \int_z^{\infty} e^{-t} \frac{dt}{t} = \int_1^{\infty} (e^{-tz}) \frac{dt}{t} = \int_0^1 e^{-z/u} \frac{du}{u}, \quad \text{Re}(z) \ge 0
$$
 (A2)

Both E_i and E_1 can be written more simply using the entire function Ein , such that

$$
E_1(z) = -\gamma - \ln z + E_{in}(z). \tag{A3}
$$

The Hamiltonian is $H(x) = Ein(P)$, where:

$$
Ein(z) = \int_0^z (1 - e^{-t}) \frac{dt}{t},
$$
\n(A4)

or also

$$
Ein(z) = \gamma + ln z + E_1(z) =
$$

= $\gamma + ln z + \int_z^{\infty} e^{-t} \frac{dt}{t} =$
= $\gamma + ln z + \int_1^{\infty} (e^{-tz}) \frac{dt}{t} =$
= $\gamma + ln z + \int_0^1 e^{-z/u} \frac{du}{u}, \quad \text{Re}(z) \ge 0.$ (A5)